
## Genetic Exercises SNPs and Population Genetics

## 1. Identify SNPs within a group of Isolates For this exercise use <u>http://TriTrypdb.org</u>

a. Go to the "Differences Within a Group of Isolates" search. *Hint:* you can find this under "SNPs" in the "Identify Other Data Types" section.

Identify Other Data Types:	Identify SNPs based on Differences Within a Group of Isolates
Expand All   Collapse All	Isolates 🛛 17 selected [Host is Human ×]
<ul> <li>Isolates</li> <li>Genomic Sequences</li> <li>Genomic Segments (DNA Motif)</li> <li>SNPs</li> <li>SNP ID(s)</li> <li>Differences Within a Group of Isolates</li> <li>Genomic Location</li> <li>Gene IDs</li> <li>Differences Between Two Groups of Isolates</li> </ul>	Select isolates     View selection (17)     Collapse       GeographicLocation     Host     Organisms or organism parts used as a designed part of the culture (e.g., re       Host     StrainOxLine     Select al [ clear al       With man     17     94.44%       Unknown     1     5.56%       Hisolates     % Isolates from other selected options
<ul> <li>ESTs</li> <li>ORFs</li> <li>SAGE Tags</li> <li>Metabolic Pathways III</li> <li>Compounds III</li> </ul>	Read frequency threshold ●       80% c         Minor allele frequency >= ●       0         Percent isolates with base call >= ●       80         Image: Advanced Parameters       Image: Advanced Parameters
	Get Answer

- **b. What does this search do?** Choose *Leishmania donovani* for the organism and select isolates from the human host. Use default parameters for the rest of the parameters. Run the query and look at your results.
- How many SNPs were returned?
- Are any of these heterozygous SNPs?
- How would you identify heterozygous SNPs? Add a step to your strategy to identify SNPs from these isolates that may be heterozygous. *Hint: choose a read frequency threshold of 40% and select the 2 minus 1 operation.*
- How many additional SNPs did you identify?
- Click on the second step results to view them. What do you



notice about the %minor alleles? (*many are quite low … ie in one or two of the isolates*). How can you remove these from your search results? *Hint: revise this search and increase the minor allele frequency threshold (try 20 and 40 and compare results).* 


& TriTrypD	Version 8.1 10 Sep 14	A EuPathDB Project in collaboration with Come	в
Kinetopiastid Genomics Res		Revise Step	×
	Revise Step 2 : Difference	es Within a Group of Isolates	٦
Home New Search - My Strategies	Organism 🥹	Leishmania donovani BPK282A1 +	
My Strategies: New Op	Isolates 🥹	Loading	
(SNPs)	Read frequency threshold 📀	40% \$	
One Group	Minor allele frequency >= 📀	40	
8367 SNPs	Percent isolates with base call >= 🥹	80	
One Group 490 SNPs 7877 SNPs		Advanced Parameters	
Step 1 Step 2	Combine SNPs in Step 1	with SNPs in Step 2:	7
		O 1 Intersect 2 O 1 Minus 2	
8367 SNPs from Step 2		O 1 Union 2 💿 🔘 2 Minus 1	
Strategy: One Group		I Relative to 2 , using genomic colocation	
SNP Results	L	Run Step	

- Why might you want to increase the minor allele threshold when you run SNP searches?
- Try increasing / decreasing the "Percent isolates with base call". How does this impact your results? Why might you want to change this parameter?

## 2. Find SNPs that differentiate between groups of isolates. Drug sensitive vs. drug resistant.

For this exercise use http://plasmodb.org

Why would you want to compare between groups of isolates? One possibility is to sensitive compare between drug and resistant parasites, another is to compare strains between different geographic regions. Grouping isolates requires some knowledge about isolate characteristics (metadata). You can identify SNPs between two groups of isolates using the "Compare Two Groups of Isolates" query found under the SNPs heading in the "Identify other Data Types" section.



To set this query up there are two main things you need to do:


**a.** Define the two sets of isolates (set A and B) based on available metadata or based on your own knowledge of individual isolate/strain characteristics.

Identify S	NPs based on Com	pare T	wo Grou	ps of Isola	ates 👐
Organism 📀	Plasmodium falciparum 3D7 🔅				
Set A Isolates 📀	0 selected Select Set A Isolates	Bet A locates	54 selected GeographicLe Select Set A lociates		Cotapee
Set A read frequency threshold >= 😯	80% 0		-	🧭 Gambia	64 44.44%
Set A major allele frequency >= 😢	80	1	Holt StranOfLine	<ul> <li>Thies,5enegal</li> <li>urknown</li> </ul>	09 47.92% 11 7.64%
Set A percent isolates with base call >=	80		GeographicLocation		
Set B Isolates 😣	0 selected Select Set 8 isolates				
Set B read frequency threshold >= 😢	80% 0			The red bar indicates the percent	entage of Set A lociales whose qualifies you've already selected:
Set B major allele frequency >= 📀	80	L			
Set B percent isolates with base call >=	80				

- **b.** Define the SNP characteristics in each set of isolates.
  - For this exercise find all SNPs that differentiate isolates from Gambia compared to those from Senegal. Define the SNP characteristics to be as follows:
    - Read frequency threshold >= 80%
    - Major allele frequency >= 70
    - Percent isolates with base call >= 50
  - What do these parameters mean? Here are some definitions (you can get these by mousing over the blue question mark icons next to the parameter names).
  - Frequency Threshold: The percent of aligned reads at this SNP location with this allele. In a perfect world with a haploid organism 100% of reads should support all SNP calls. For diploid or polyploid organisms, the read frequency threshold to identify heterozygous SNPs would be 50% or less.
  - *Major Allele Frequency*: The percent of major alleles at this location (for the specified isolates at the specified read frequency). The major allele in a haploid organism is defined as the allele that is found in the majority of isolates/strains in a defined set. So, if you choose a major allele frequency of 70% in a group of 10 isolates, than 7 of those isolates should have the same allele.
  - *Percent Isolates with Base Call*: The percent of isolates with the SNP call. For example, if you choose 20 isolates and a 'Min percent of isolates with base calls' of 75%, then the SNP will be

ignored if there are less than 15 isolates that have a qualifying allele.

- c. How many results did you get?
  - You can define sets of isolates by other criteria. For example, you



may wish to compare known chloroquine resistant and sensitive strains. Use the compare two groups query to compare these isolates:

- Set A Isolates: 7G8, Dd2-1, Dd2-2, GB4 (resistant)
- Set B Isolates: 3D7, CS2, HB3, IT (sensitive)
- Define the SNP characteristics. For example, read frequency threshold >=80%, major allele frequency >= 100, percent isolates with base call >= 100. What happens if you change these numbers?
- 3. Find SNPs that distinguish *Toxoplasma gondii* strains isolated from chickens as compared to those isolated from cats. For this exercise use <u>http://ToxoDB.org</u>

Navigate to "Identify SNPs based on Differences Between Two Groups of Isolates".

- **a.** Click select set A isolates and select hosts from the left column. Check the chicken box to select the 11 chicken isolates.
- **b.** Click select set B isolates and select hosts from the left column. Check the cat box to select the 12 cat isolates.

Identify SNPs based on Differences Between Two Groups of Isolates					
Organism 📀	Toxoplasma gondii ME49 💲				
Set A Isolates 📀	11 selected Host is Chicken ×				
	Refine selection				
Set A read frequency threshold >= 🕜	80% 🗘				
Set A major allele frequency >= 🕖	100				
Set A percent isolates with base call >= 📀	80				
Set B Isolates 🕖	12 selected Host is Cat ×				
	Refine selection				
Set B read frequency threshold >= 💔	80% ‡				
Set B major allele frequency >= 📀	100				
Set B percent isolates with base call >= 😵	80				
Advanced Parameters					
Get Answer					

- **c.** Let's run a very stringent search and change the "major allele frequency" parameters for both sets to 100. (*What does that mean?*). We'll leave the other parameters at their default values, which are in themselves pretty stringent ... but feel free to change them to see how this impacts your results.
- How many SNPs did your search return? Does this large number that distinguish these two fairly large groups of isolates surprise you?
- Optional (but highly encouraged). You want to identify genes that could potentially be involved in host preference in *Toxoplasma gondii* and you expect that the SNPs from this search you just ran may be in protein coding regions of genes involved in this preference. How might you identify genes containing these SNPs?
  - Add a step to identify protein-coding genes in *Toxoplasma gondii ME49*. What is the only operator that is available to you when you add this step? Why is this? Configure the genome colocation page to return "Gene from Step 2 whose exact region overlaps the exact region of a SNP in Step 1 and is on either strand"

Add Step 2 : Gene Type						
Organism 😯	select all   clear all   expand all   collapse all   reset to default					
	± Eimeria					
	🗄 🗍 Neospora					
	🗄 🔳 Toxoplasma					
	Toxoplasma gondii GT1					
	··· 🗹 Toxoplasma gondii ME49					
	- Toxoplasma gondii RH					
	Toxoplasma gondii VEG					
	select all   clear all   expand all   collapse all   reset to default					
Gene type 😵	Gene type 🕖 📝 protein coding					
	tRNA encoding					
	rRNA encoding					
	select all   clear all					
Include Pseudogenes 😵	No ‡					
Advanced Parameters						
Combine SNPs in Step 1 with 0	Senes in Step 2:					
े 🛈	1 Intersect 2 O 🚺 1 Minus 2					
े 🛈	1 Union 2 0 0 2 Minus 1					
I Relative to 2, using genomic colocation						

Continue....

	Add Step		×				
Genomic Colocation 🕄 🗘							
Combine Step 1 and	d Step 2 using relativ	e locations in the genome					
You had 10545 SNPs in your Strateg	You had 10545 SNPs in your Strategy (Step 1). Your new Genes search (Step 2) returned 8322 Genes.						
"Return each Gene from Step 2 => whose exact region	overlaps    the	exact region of a SNP in Step 1 and is on either strand	÷ "				
(8322 Genes in Step )		(10545 SNPs in Step )					
Region	<b>_</b>	Region					
		• ·					
Gene		SNP					
Exact		• Exact					
OUpstream: 1000 bp		OUpstream: 1000 bp					
ODownstream: 1000 bp		ODownstream: 1000 bp					
OCustom:		OCustom:					
begin at: start + + 0 bp		begin at: start + + + 0 bp					
end at: stop + + 0 bp		end at: stop + + 0 bp					
	Submit						

- o How many genes are returned?
  - What is the gene that contains the most SNPs on your list? *Hint:* sort the list high to low by match count.
  - Does this gene have orthologs in other species from ToxoDB? Hint: go to the gene page and look at the genomic context and orthologs/paralogs in ToxoDB table.
  - Does it have orthology in any other species? *Hint: click on the link under the orthologs table and look at in OrthoMCL.*
  - What does this say about this genes that is uncharacterized? How can you follow up on what what role this gene may be playing for the organism? *Hint: you are a biologist and will need to look at the data on the gene record page and interpret it based on your experience and intuition.*
- Do these genes appear to be randomly distributed along the genome? *Hint: click the "Genome View" tab to view the distribution.* If you are a *Toxoplasma* biologist, do you have any hypotheses why the distribution may be skewed?
- o As a last resort: http://toxodb.org/toxo/im.do?s=f6cdff8edcda494b

## 4. Identify genes that appear to be under diversifying selection based on isolates from Senegal.

For this exercise use http://www.plasmodb.org

- a. Go to the "Identify Genes based on SNP Characteristics" search. Hint: you can find this under "Identify Genes" in the "Population Biology" section.
- o Choose strains from organism *P. falciparum* that are from the geographic region of Thies, Senegal.

- Set the number of coding SNPs to be >= 30 and the non-synonymous / synonymous SNP ratio to be >= 3. (see image below for help configuring the search if you have problems).
- How many genes did you find? What types of genes do you see in your list? (*Hint: use the Enrichment Analysis tool to get a quick overview*). Does this make sense as genes that might advantageous to the parasite to be under diversifying selection (ie, the protein sequence is changing)?
- o What is the gene with the highest non-synonymous / synonymous ratio? *Hint: sort by this column.*
- o What gene has the most total SNPs?
- o Save this strategy as we will use it as a starting point for some comparisons and it will be quicker for you to reopen the saved strategy than to re-run the search.

	Iden	tify Genes	based on	SNP Chara	cteristi	CS NEW	
Organism 😵	Plasmodium falcip	arum 3D7 💠					
-	69 selected Geog	aphicLocation is Thies	,S ×				
	Select Isolates	View selection (69)			onapuo		
	Year						
	Host		Gambia Thies,Senegal	64 <b>69</b>	44.44% <b>47.92%</b>		
	StrainOrLine		unknown	11	7.64%		
	GeographicLoc	ation					
			The red bar indi	cates the percentage o	f Isolates who	se qualities you've already selected:	
Minor allele frequency >= 📀	0						
Percent isolates with base call >= 😢	80						
Read frequency threshold 📀	80% \$						
SNP Class 📀	Coding	\$					
Number of SNPs of above class >= 📀	30						
Number of SNPs of above class <= 😢							
Non-synonymous / synonymous SNP ratio >= 😵	3						
Non-synonymous / synonymous SNP							
ratio <= 🕅 SNPs per KB (CDS) >= 😵	0						
SNPs per KB (CDS) <= 😵							
			Advanced F	aramotore			
			H Advanced F	arameters			
			Get Ans	wer			

**b.** Add a step to this result to compare this list of genes with genes that may be under diversifying selection based on isolates from Gambia (an African country essentially contained within Senegal).

- Hint: click add step -> Genes -> population biology -> SNP Characteristics. Configure as above except choose isolates from Gambia.
- o How many genes are in common between these two regions? **NOTE**: save this strategy as we'll use it again later in this exercise.
- Is PF3D7\_1475800 still the gene with the largest NS/S ratio? Hint: Add a column for HTS NS/S ratio. Why is the ratio lower than for either of the specific results (Senegal or Gambia)? Hint: This ratio is based on a read frequency threshold of 20% which is very low for haploid organisms so likely contains sequencing errors.
- How would you identify genes under selection in Senegal but not Gambia (and vice versa)? *Hint: revise the operator to use 1 not 2 or 2 not 1 operator.* Play with relaxing the parameters a bit of the result being subtracted to increase the likelihood that your result is specific. For example, set the number of coding SNPs to 20 and/or set the NS/S ratio to 2.5.
- 5. Comparing your results with a published list: You just read the recent paper by Tetteh *et.al.* (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19440377) where they perform an analysis of SNPs on a set of *P. falciparum* genes. Their conclusion is that these genes are under "balancing" selection under diversifying selection due to their exposure to the host's immune pressure. You decide you would like to analyze their list of genes in PlasmoDB.

Here is the list of gene IDs from their paper:

PFF0615c, Pf13\_0338, PFE0395c, PF14\_0201, PFF0995c, PF10\_0346, PF10\_0347, PF10\_0348, PF10\_0352, PF13\_0197, PF13\_0196, MAL13P1.174, PF13\_0193, MAL13P1.173, Pf13\_0191, PF13\_0192, PF13\_0194, PFL1385c, PFB0340c, MAL7P1.208, PF13\_0348, PF10\_0144, PF14\_0102, PFE0080c, PFE0075c, PFD0955w

- Add a step to your strategy to see if any of these genes are present in your list of genes with high NS/S ratios. *Hint: click add step -> genes -> Test,IDs,organism -> Gene IDs and paste in the list above.*
  - How many genes are shared? Hint: The above strategy is very stringent, try decreasing stringency of SNP searches to 10 coding SNPs and NS/S ratio >= 1.5.